

To: All Members of the Council

Robin Pellow
Head of Democratic Services

When calling please ask for: Emma McQuillan

Direct line: 01483 523351

Calls may be recorded for training or monitoring

E-mail: emma.mcquillan@waverley.gov.uk

Date: 13 February 2009

Dear Councillor

COUNCIL MEETING - TUESDAY 24 FEBRUARY 2009

A re-scheduled Meeting of the WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL will be held in the COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES, THE BURYS, GODALMING on **TUESDAY, 24 FEBRUARY 2009** at ***7.00 p.m.** and you are hereby summoned to attend such meeting.

The Agenda for the Meeting is set out below.

Prior to the commencement of the Meeting, prayers will be led by the Reverend Margaret Jackson.

Yours sincerely

Chief Executive

*** This meeting will be webcast and can be viewed by visiting <http://www.waverley.ukcouncil.net/> and will be preceded by an open public question time at 6.30 p.m.**

AGENDA

1. **MINUTES**

To confirm the Minutes of the Meeting of the Council held on 16th December 2008 (herewith).

2. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

The Mayor to report apologies for absence.

3. DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS

To receive from Members declarations of personal and prejudicial interests in relation to any items included on the agenda for this meeting in accordance with the Waverley Code of Local Government Conduct.

4. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

5. QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

To answer the following questions received from members of the public in accordance with Procedure Rule 10:-

(i) from Mrs Anne Cooper of Farnham

"Cllr. Taylor Smith reports that a roof-light to Brightwell House has been recently damaged. Way back in 2007 the Farnham Buildings Preservation Trust published a survey of Brightwell House and the Redgrave which demonstrated the very poor condition these buildings were in, due to water penetration, particularly through *"smashing/displacement of roof-lights by intruders and vandals"*. It is noted in the summary that *"most damage and deterioration (appears) to have arisen from neglect over a considerable time."*

My question is: How soon can we expect these listed buildings to be made secure and the various problems rectified, including dry rot?"

ii. from John Kidd of Cranleigh regarding the Rowland House Redevelopment

"Our front garden wall has been demolished twice by large lorries delivering to Manns when turning out of Victoria Road towards the High Street. Why are the Council even considering building flats and houses so close to the northern side of Victoria Road when the road is so narrow and the site lines for vehicles will be greatly reduced by the new buildings?"

iii. from Sue Kidd of Cranleigh regarding the Rowland House Redevelopment

"All the considered schemes present an enormous parking problem. The Council is fully aware of the parking problems in Rowland and Victoria Roads, and even insisted that any proposals to redevelop the site should ensure that there would be no overspill parking into these streets. Why is the Council considering proposals with insufficient parking provision that will clearly exacerbate the current problems?"

iv. from Paul Kidd of Cranleigh regarding the Rowland House Redevelopment

“As a local resident to this proposed re-development I would like to thank the council for its openness during the procedure so far. I would also like to have confirmation that the local residents and Cranleigh Community will be permitted and encouraged to take part in the ongoing process of determining the most appropriate proposal for this site.”

v. from Debbie McGuinness of Cranleigh regarding the Rowland House Redevelopment

“Why is the Council considering a proposal that will ensure over spill parking in both Rowland and Victoria Roads? At the public exhibition, Thames Valley Housing Association said that they were using a formula for necessary car parking spaces based upon 2 spaces for larger properties and 1.5 for 2 bedroom houses. This formula would mean 76 spaces for the option being recommended by the Executive Committee. There are actually only 49 spaces actually shown on the plan, some 40% short.”

vi. from D E Higham of Cranleigh regarding the Rowland House Redevelopment

“Given its stated commitment to an extensive consultation process and that “the views and concerns of the local residents, especially those adjacent to the site will be crucial” to the success of this scheme, will the Borough Council ensure that any potential new option that emerges will be considered in same way as the original six options? Local residents will expect a second public exhibition to vote for either the Council’s and the resident’s preferred option or any new option that emerges in the next three months. Residents will also expect the Council’s original Special Interest Group to consider the two options taking into account not only their views but also those of the Parish Council.”

vii. from R G Higham of Cranleigh regarding the Rowland House Redevelopment

“What faith can the local residents have in the Council not to exacerbate the parking problems in Rowland and Victoria Roads, when already the original requirement of “there must be **no parking overspill** into the surrounding streets” has been significantly downgraded to having a car parking strategy, whatever that is, which “**avoids overspill parking** outside the new development?”

6. PRESENTATION OF PETITION

The Mayor to receive a petition containing 240 signatures, submitted by Mr Bob Nicholls, in accordance with Procedure Rule 10.10, the prayer of which is as follows:-

“We the undersigned support the need for a multi-use games areas for local youth activities. Of the three proposed sites we strongly oppose the proposed Nursery Road park entrance and Summers Road tennis court locations, which would greatly affect neighbouring residential properties amenity with daily noise and devaluation.

The central park site beside the football pitch and pathway, although not ideal, is the only proposed option not affecting any residential properties amenity”.

Upon presenting the petition, the petitioner may read out the prayer and make relevant supporting remarks for a period of not more than four minutes. The Mayor will then advise the petitioner and the Council that the petition stands referred to be considered by the Executive at its next available meeting.

7. QUESTION TIME

To answer any questions received in accordance with Procedure Rule 11.2.

8. NOTICE OF MOTION

To receive any notices of motion in accordance with Procedure Rule 12.1.

9. BUDGET 2009/2010

To receive the report of the Executive in respect of the 2009/2010 budget, set out at Appendix A, incorporating:-

Appendix A.1 - General Fund Revenue Estimates 2009/2010

Appendix A.2 - General Fund Capital Programme 2009/2010

Appendix A.3 - Housing Revenue Account Revenue Estimates and Rent Levels 2009/2010

Appendix A.4 - Housing Revenue Account Capital Programme 2009/2010

Appendix A.5 - Council Tax Setting 2009/2010 (including any information received since the meeting of the Executive).

10. MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE AND COMMITTEES

(a) To receive the minutes of the meetings of the Executive held on:-

- (i) 6 January 2009 (herewith - coloured grey); and
 - (ii) 10 February 2009 (rescheduled from 3 February) (herewith - coloured grey); and
- (b) To receive the minutes of the meeting of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee held on 14 January 2009 (herewith – coloured aqua).

11. EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

To consider the following motion, to be moved by the Mayor, where appropriate:-

That pursuant to Procedure Rule 20 and in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of any matter on this agenda on the grounds that it is likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings, that if members of the public were present during the item(s), there would be disclosure to them of exempt information (as defined by Section 100I of the Act) of the description specified in the appropriate paragraph(s) of the revised Part I of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (to be identified at the meeting).

If you would like a copy of this agenda or the attached papers in another format, e.g. large print, on tape or in another language, please call
01483 523351